



A Survey to Identify the Current Management of Cow's Milk Disorders and the Role of Goat Milk-Based Formulas in the Middle East and North Africa Region

Wael A. Bahbah ¹, Mostafa ElHodhod ^{2,3}, Mohamed Salah ⁴, Fawaz AlRefaee ⁵, Muath AlTuraiki ⁶, Samira Mousa ⁷, Ali Al Mehaidib ⁸, Wafaa Helmi Ayesh ⁹, Ahmed N. El-Bazzar ¹⁰, Joseph El Haddad ¹¹, Heba Y. El Khashab ^{12,13}, Amr El Zawahry ^{14,15}, Mohammed Hasosah ¹⁶, Sanaa Youssef Shaaban ¹⁷ and Yvan Vandenplas ^{18,*}

- ¹ Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Menoufia University, Shebin El-Kom 32511, Egypt; wael_bahbah@yahoo.com
- ² Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, Cairo 11566, Egypt; mostafaelhodhod@yahoo.com
- ³ Faculty of Medicine, October 6 University, Giza 12511, Egypt
- ⁴ Egyptian Academy of Pediatrics, Cairo 11311, Egypt; mohamedsalahdr@yahoo.com
- ⁵ Department of Pediatrics, Al Adan Hospital, Ministry of Health, Kuwait City P.O. Box 46969, Kuwait; fawazalrefaee@gmail.com
- ⁶ Department of Pediatrics, King Salman Hospital, Riyadh 12769, Saudi Arabia; maturaiki@yahoo.com
- ⁷ Medical Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Benha 13518, Egypt; sameramousa@yahoo.com
- ⁸ Department of Pediatrics, King Faisal Specialist Hospital & Research Center, Riyadh 11211, Saudi Arabia; mehaidib@kfshrc.edu.sa
- ⁹ Department of Clinical Nutrition, Dubai Health Authority, Dubai P.O. Box 4545, United Arab Emirates; majdshahd@hotmail.com
- ¹⁰ Department of Pediatrics, Ministry of Health Hospitals, Cairo 12613, Egypt; ahmed.n.elbazzar@gmail.com
 - ¹¹ Department of Pediatrics and Neonatology, Saint George University Hospital, Beirut 1100, Lebanon; profhadad@gmail.com
 - ¹² Department of Pediatrics, Sulaiman Al Habib Medical Group, Riyadh 12214, Saudi Arabia; heba241@hotmail.com
 - ¹³ Department of Pediatrics, Ain Shams University, Cairo 11566, Egypt
 - ¹⁴ Pediatrics Department, King's College Hospital London, Dubai P.O. Box 340901, United Arab Emirates; picu2011@gmail.com
 - ¹⁵ Department of Pediatrics, Sharjah University, Sharjah P.O. Box 27272, United Arab Emirates
 - ¹⁶ King Abdullah International Medical Research Center, Pediatric Gastroenterology Department, National Guard Hospital, King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Jeddah 21482, Saudi Arabia; myhasosah@yahoo.com
 - ¹⁷ Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, Cairo 11566, Egypt; sanaanutrition@gmail.com
 - ¹⁸ KidZ Health Castle, UZ Brussel, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, 1090 Brussels, Belgium
 - Correspondence: yvan.vandenplas@uzbrussel.be

Abstract: Background: Cow's milk allergy (CMA) and cow's milk intolerance (CMI) are the major cow's milk disorders observed in infants and young children. This study investigates, for the first time, physician knowledge regarding CMA and CMI prevalence, diagnosis, and management in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region. In addition, we explore the role of goat milk-based formula as an alternative in infants suffering from CMI. Method: This cross-sectional survey was conducted from December 2020 to February 2021. A convenience sample of 2500 MENA-based physicians received the questionnaire, developed by a working group of pediatric experts. Results: 1868 physicians completed the questionnaire, including pediatric specialists (80.8%), training physicians (0.2%), dermatologists (0.1%), family/general physicians (12.9%), neonatologists (3.6%), neurosurgeons (0.2%), allergy nurse specialists (0.3%), pharmacists (2.1%), and public health workers (0.1%). Differentiation between CMA and CMI was recognized by the majority of respondents (80.7%), for which the majority of respondents (35.4%) identified that the elimination and challenge test was the best test to differentiate CMA from CMI, whereas 30.7% and 5.4% preferred the immunoglobulin E (IgE) test and skin prick test, respectively. In addition, 28.5% of respondents reported that there is



Citation: Bahbah, W.A.; ElHodhod, M.; Salah, M.; AlRefaee, F.; AlTuraiki, M.; Mousa, S.; Al Mehaidib, A.; Ayesh, W.H.; El-Bazzar, A.N.; El Haddad, J.; et al. A Survey to Identify the Current Management of Cow's Milk Disorders and the Role of Goat Milk-Based Formulas in the Middle East and North Africa Region. *Nutrients* **2022**, *14*, 1067. https:// doi.org/10.3390/nu14051067

Academic Editors: Enza D'Auria, Roberto Berni Canani and Gian Vincenzo Zuccotti

Received: 12 December 2021 Accepted: 20 January 2022 Published: 3 March 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). no confirmatory test to differentiate CMA from CMI. The majority of respondents (47.3%) reported that amino acid-based formula (AAF)/ extensively hydrolyzed formula (EHF) is the cornerstone for the management of CMA. However, most respondents (33.7%) reported that lactose avoidance was best for the management of CMI. Overall, 65% of the respondents were aware of nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula as an alternative to cow's milk products and 37% would recommend its routine use in infants (\leq 2 years of age). Conclusion: The results of this survey demonstrate that the majority of physicians are aware of the underlying pathophysiology and management of CMA and CMI. However, a significant proportion of physicians do not follow the clinical guidelines concerning CMA/CMI diagnosis and management. Notably, this survey identified that goat's milk formulas may offer a suitable alternative to AAF/EHF in infants with CMI as they contain β -casein protein which is easily digestible. In addition, goat's milk formulas contain higher levels of oligosaccharides and medium-chained fatty acids compared with standard cow's milk formulas, yet further clinical trials are warranted to support the inclusion of goat's milk formulas in clinical guidelines.

Keywords: cow's milk allergy; cow's milk intolerance; goat milk-based formulas; Middle East and North Africa

1. Introduction

Globally, cow's milk is the most abundant mammalian milk consumed by humans, and cow's milk-based formulas are commonly used to feed human infants [1,2]. As such, cow's milk-based formulas are often the first food source introduced into non-breastfed infants. However, cow's milk products are associated with the development of allergy, known as cow's milk allergy (CMA), and gastrointestinal (GI) discomfort due to intolerance, known as cow's milk intolerance (CMI) [3]. CMA and CMI are often clinically used interchangeably due to similar clinical presentation, yet CMA and CMI are discrete disorders which differ in etiology, prevalence, prognosis, and management strategies.

CMA is one of the most common food allergies in infants and young children, with a prevalence of 2–5% [4]. CMA is an immunologically mediated reaction to the cow's milk proteins beta (ß)-lactoglobulin (the most abundant whey protein in cow's milk) and casein which consists of several isoforms (i.e., α s1-casein, α s2-casein, k-casein, and β -casein) [4]. Clinical manifestations may be immunoglobulin E (IgE)-mediated with a rapid onset (i.e., within minutes to a couple of hours), or non-IgE-mediated with slow onset (i.e., within a couple of hours to days post ingestion). CMA specific clinical manifestations typically include, yet are not limited to, rashes, hives, swelling (lips and face), wheezing, tightness of the throat, and trouble swallowing. Other symptoms of CMA are also associated with CMI such as diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, abdominal cramps, bloating, gas, regurgitation, and reflux [5–7]. CMI differs from CMA as it arises from the body's inability to digest specific components of cow's milk such as proteins, lactose and fats [6,8]. The mechanism of action of CMI is yet to be elucidated, though one hypothesis is that CMI is caused by insufficient lactase enzyme activity [9]. However, recent studies, albeit in adult cohorts, demonstrated that bovine β -casomorphin-7 (BCM-7) released from β -casein A1 is an important contributor to CMI as it is associated with intestinal inflammation and exacerbated GI symptoms [9–12]. These symptoms are associated with A1 β -casein released BCM-7, an exorphin that activates μ -opioid receptors expressed throughout the gastrointestinal tract and body [10,11,13]. A recent study indicated increased GI symptoms associated with A1 β -casein compared with A2 β -casein [14]. In contrast, the β -casein A2 variant is hypothesized to release less BCM-7 and, thus, associated with less intestinal inflammation/GI symptoms compared with the A1 variant [9,10]. Interestingly, the β -casein in human and goat milk is categorized as "A2-like" due to similarities in β -casein structure and BCM-7 yield [10–12].

Dietary avoidance of cow's milk is commonly recommended for non-breastfed CMA and CMI infants. Alternatives include modified versions of cow's milk (extensively hy-

drolyzed formula [EHF]/partially hydrolyzed formula [PHF]), amino acid-based formula (AAF), plant-based formulas (e.g., soy protein and hydrolyzed rice-based formulas), and alternative mammalian milk options such as buffalo, sheep, and goat [15,16]. International guidelines recommend the use of EHF as an effective alternative to cow's milk and AAF in infants intolerant to EHF or when presenting with severe symptoms [17–20]. However, in recent years there has been increased focus on the use of goat's milk as an alternative to cow's milk due to the presence of easily digestible "A2-like" β -casein. In addition, goat's milk contains lower lactose content compared with cow's milk (4.1 g versus 4.7 g per 100 g milk), and higher levels of both medium-chain fatty acids and oligosaccharides [21–24]. Importantly, several studies have demonstrated that the nutritional and growth profiles of infants fed with goat's milk-based formulas are similar to those fed with cow's milk-based formulas [25–28]. Furthermore, in vitro studies have shown that the kinetics of goat's milk protein digestion closely resembled the profile of human milk protein digestion [1,28]. As such, there is a case for using goat's milk-based formulas in CMA/CMI infants.

Non-cow milk-based mammalian milks are nutritionally modified to mitigate the development of nutritional deficiencies [23,29,30]. Although the casein and whey percentages in goat's milk are similar to cow's milk (80% casein, 20% whey), efforts have been made to modify the blend to mirror whey-dominant human milk (40% casein, 60% whey) [31,32]. Furthermore, goat's milk formulas often contain a modified fat blend utilizing a specific mixture of vegetable oils to achieve a high concentration of palmitic acid and mimic the composition/ function of palmitic acid present in breast milk [32,33]. Interestingly, research suggests that modification of fat in infant formulas may benefit the development of the gut microbiota by supporting the colonization of beneficial bacteria during early life [34,35].

To date, studies have been conducted to understand the epidemiology, diagnosis, and management of food allergies overall in Middle East and North African (MENA) infants [36–41]. However, no studies have been conducted to investigate physician knowledge on the prevalence, diagnosis, and management of CMA and CMI in the MENA region. The aim of this study is to evaluate physicians' understanding of the prevalence, diagnosis, and management of CMA region, and to identify the role of goat-milk based formulas in CMA / CMI management.

2. Materials and Methods

A questionnaire (see Appendix A) was developed by a working group of pediatrician experts. The working group comprised regional experts from various countries across the MENA region, including Prof. Yvan Vandenplas from Belgium. A face-to-face meeting was organized to reach a consensus on the questions and the final questionnaire was circulated electronically among 2500 healthcare professionals across the region.

The survey was structured into two parts: the first focused on the respondents' demographic and professional characteristics, and the second part included questions on CMA, CMI, and goat's milk formulas. The survey aimed to assess physicians' opinions on understanding the difference between CMA and CMI in terms of prevalence, diagnosis, and management, as well as using goat milk-based formula as an alternative to cow's milk infant formula. Convenience sampling was employed to collect data. The survey was completed anonymously by pediatricians, general physicians, pediatric gastroenterologists, neonatologists, and other healthcare professionals predominantly in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, Egypt, Kuwait, United Arab Emirates, Lebanon, Iraq, and Yemen. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the characteristics of the respondents and their responses to the questions.

3. Results

3.1. Physician Characteristics

1868 physicians completed the questionnaire (58.5% male, 41.5% female), including pediatricians (72.7% [n = 1358]), general physicians (i.e., an individual's primary-care provider, 5.5% [n = 103]), family physicians (i.e., consultation provider to an entire family,

4.5% [n = 84]), neonatologists (3.6% [n = 67]), pediatric nutritionists (2.8% [n = 53]), and other healthcare workers (10.9% [n = 203]). The majority of physicians (41.9%, n = 782) were below 40 years of age and only 9.2% (n = 172) were over 60 years of age. A total of 46.1% (n = 862) participants worked in a government facility, 37.6% (n = 703) worked in a private facility, and 16.2% (n = 303) worked in both government and private facilities. Seventy-five percent (n = 1406) of the participants practiced in a hospital set-up and 23% (n = 424) had more than 20 years of experience in the pediatric arena. The characteristics of participating physicians are presented in Table 1.

Characteristics	Demographic Data	Number	Percent
	Male	1092	58.5%
Gender	Female	776	41.5%
	Less than 40 years	782	41.9%
Age group	40–50 years	577	29.8%
rige group	50–60 years	337	18%
	More than 60 years	172	9.2%
	Pediatrician (general)	1359	72.7%
	Pediatric gastroenterologist	47	2.5%
	Pediatric nutritionist	53	2.8%
	Pediatrician (other)	47	2.5%
Specialty	Family medicine	242	12.9%
	Physician (other)	8	0.4%
	Neonatologist	67	3.6%
	Pharmacist	39	2.1%
	Nurse specialist in allergy	6	0.3%
	Other (Algeria, Bahrain, India, Iraq, Jordan, Lebanon, Lybia, Oman, Pakistan, Sudan, Syria, Yemen)	90	4.8%
Country	Egypt	307	16.4%
	Kingdom of Saudi Arabia	10214	54.3%
	Kuwait	383	20.3%
	United Arab Emirates	67	3.6%
	Private facility	703	37.6%
Place of practice	Government facility	862	46.1%
	Both	303	16.2%
	Less than 5 years	431	23.1%
ears of experience in	From 5 to 10 years	419	22.4%
the pediatric arena	From 11 to 20 years	594	31.8%
	More than 20 years	424	23%
	Clinic	459	24.6%
	Hospital	1406	75.2%
Facility of practice	Maternal and child health centres	1	0%
	Primary healthcare centres	1	0%
	Store	1	0%

Table 1. The demographic and self-reported characteristics of the survey respondents (*n* = 1868).

3.2. Physician and Knowledge on Cow's Milk Allergy and Cow's Milk Intolerance

A total of 80.7% (n = 1508) of the respondents recognized that CMA and CMI were different disorders, while 19.3% (n = 360) believed that they were the same disorder. A majority (46.7%, n = 872) of the physicians reported the estimated prevalence of CMA (as per literature) to be 1–5%, whereas 24.5% (n = 457) of them estimated it to be 6–10%. As per clinical practice, 44.8% (n = 836) of the respondents reported the prevalence to be 1–5%. There were no notable differences in the responses of the participants regarding the prevalence of CMI as per literature and clinical practice (Table 2). Surprisingly, 23.7% (n = 442) and 30.2% (n = 565) of respondents were unaware of the prevalence data (as per literature) for CMA and CMI, respectively.

Table 2. Questionnaire results on physician knowledge of cow's milk allergy (CMA) and cow's milk intolerance (CMI).

Questions	Responses	n (%)
O1: Are CMA and CMI the	Yes	360 (19.3%)
same disorder?	No	1508 (80.7%)
	1–5%	872 (46.7%)
Q2: According to literature, the	6–10%	457 (24.5%)
prevalence of CMA in an unselected population of infants is?	11–20%	97 (5.2%)
	I don't know	442 (23.7%)
	1–5%	316 (16.9%)
Q3: According to literature, the	6–10%	510 (27.3%)
prevalence of CMI in an unselected population of infants is?	11–20%	477 (25.5%)
	I don't know	565 (30.2%)
	1–5%	836 (44.8%)
Q4: According to my personal experience	6–10%	527 (28.2%)
in my practice, the prevalence of CMA in an unselected population of infants is?	11–20%	201 (10.6%)
	I don't know	304 (16.3%)
	1–5%	579 (31%)
Q5: According to my personal experience	6–10%	402 (21.5%)
in my practice, the prevalence of CMI in an unselected population of infants is?	11–20%	598 (32%)
1 1	I don't know	289 (15.5%)
	Cow's milk allergy	109 (5.8%)
Q6: All the following are immune	Eosinophilic gastroenteritis	420 (22.5%)
mediated allergy disorders in infants are below 2 years, except?	Lactose intolerance	1287 (68.9%)
	Atopic dermatitis	52 (2.8%)
Q7: In CMA, the allergic reaction is to	True	1601 (85.7%)
one or more of the protein components present in cow's milk?	False	267 (14.3%)
Q8: CMI may be related as well to lipid,	True	1299 (69.5%)
carbohydrate, or protein components of cow's milk?	False	569 (30.5%)
On Which of the following describe the	3 months & 12 months	887 (47.5%)
Q9: Which of the following describe the most common age of onset and resolution	6 months & 36 months	318 (17%)
of non-allergic symptoms associated with	9 months & 24 months	301 (16.1%)
cow's milk-based infant formula?	12 months & 48 months	362 (19.4%)

Table 2. Cont.

Questions	Responses	n (%)
	Never	593 (31.7%)
Q10: In a suspected case of CMA, how	1–25% cases	876 (46.9%)
frequently do you request a "specific IgE test"?	26–50% of cases	208 (11.1%)
0	More than 50% of cases	191 (10.2%)
	Elimination & challenge test	661 (35.4%)
Q11: The best test to differentiate	Skin prick test	101 (5.4%)
between CMA and CMI is?	IgE test	573 (30.7%)
	None is confirmatory (I don't know)	533 (28.5%)
	Lactose avoidance	94 (2.6%)
	Extensively hydrolyzed formula	581 (31.1%)
Q12: The cornerstone for management of CMA in formula fed infants $(\leq 12 \text{ months old})$ is?	Amino acid formula/extensively hydrolyzed formula	883 (47.3%)
	Nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula	310 (16.6%)
	Lactose avoidance	629 (33.7%)
O12. The comparator of an incomparator	Extensively hydrolyzed formula	431 (23.1%)
Q13: The cornerstone for management of CMI in formula fed infants $(\leq 12 \text{ months})$ is?	Amino acid formula/extensively hydrolyzed formula	317 (17%)
	Nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula	491 (26.3)
Q14: Are you aware of nutritionally	Yes	1214 (65%)
adapted goat's milk formula?	No	654 (35%)
	Yes	691 (37%)
Q15: Would you recommend routine use	No	630 (33.7%)
of nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula in infants \leq 2 years?	I don't know	431 (23.1%)
_ ,	Not available in my country	116 (6.2%)
	Protein digestibility is better in nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula compared to cow's milk	1349 (72.2%)
Q16: The major difference in composition between cow's milk and nutritionally	Oligosaccharides are present in higher concentration in cow's milk than nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula	110 (5.9%)
adapted goat's milk formula is?	Nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula is cheaper than cow's milk	57 (3.1%)
	Stool microbiota profile of cow's milk formula fed infants is closer to breast fed infants than those fed with nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula	352 (18.8%)

Table	2.	Cont.
-------	----	-------

Questions	Responses	n (%)
	Fresh goat's milk intake results in folate deficiency	1006 (53.9%)
Q17: The difference between fresh goat's milk	Fresh milk intake is safe and recommended routinely for infants below 12 months of age	88 (4.6%)
milk & nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula is?	Goat milk-based formula has a role in treatment of cow's milk allergy	
	Brucella and Q fever have been reported with the use of goat milk-based formula	105 (5.6%)

Legend: CMA: cow's milk allergy; CMI: cow's milk intollerance; IgE: Immunoglobulin E.

Most respondents (85.7%, n = 1601) reported that the allergic reactions to cow's milk could be attributed to one or more of the cow's milk proteins. Further, 47.5% (n = 887) reported that the most common age for non-allergic symptom onset associated with cow's milk formula was 3 months and symptom resolution was 12 months. About 70% doctors (n = 1299) agreed that CMI may be related to the lipid, carbohydrate, or protein components of cow's milk.

In clinical practice, 46.9% (n = 876) of respondents indicated that they perform specific-IgE tests in 1–25% of suspected CMA cases, whereas 31.7% (n = 593) of respondents reported that they do not conduct specific-IgE tests. When questioned about the best test to differentiate between CMA and CMI, 35.4% (n = 661) of the respondents favored the elimination and challenge test, 30.7% (n = 573) preferred the IgE test, and 5.4% (n = 101) chose the skin prick test. However, almost a quarter (28.5%, n = 533) of the respondents believed none of the tests were confirmatory to differentiate between the two cow's milk-related disorders.

Regarding the cornerstone management approach for CMA patients, 47.3% (n = 883) of respondents reported that AAF/EHF are the foundation of standard practice, followed by EHF only (31.1% [n = 581]), nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula (16.6% [n = 310]), and lactose avoidance (2.6% [n = 94]). On the other hand, 33.7% (n = 629) reported that lactose avoidance was the cornerstone management approach for CMI patients. Additional reported approaches included nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula (26.3% [n = 491]), EHF only (23.1% [n = 431]), and AAF/EHF (17% [n = 317]). Interestingly, 65% (n = 1214) of the respondents were aware of nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula and 26.3% (n = 491) considered it to be the cornerstone of CMI management in infants ≤ 2 years of age. However, only 37% (n = 691) of the respondents agreed that they would recommend it as routine treatment in infants ≤ 2 years of age.

Protein digestibility was reported as the major difference between cow's and goat's milk formulas by 72.2% (n = 1349) respondents, and 35.8% (n = 669) of respondents recognized the role of goat's milk-based formula for CMA management above fresh goat's milk.

4. Discussion

This survey presents, for the first time, physicians' knowledge on the prevalence, diagnosis, and management of CMA and CMI (beyond lactose intolerance) in the MENA region, and raises the beneficial applications of goat-milk based formulas in CMA/CMI management.

Based on the clinical practice of the survey respondents, our findings suggest that the estimated prevalence of CMA in the MENA region is between 1% and 5%. In comparison, European prospective studies have estimated the overall prevalence of CMA to be between 1.9% and 4.9% [42], which are consistent with the findings of this study [43]. Globally there is a paucity of comparable international epidemiological evidence regarding CMA and CMI prevalence data, which may be attributed to geographical differences in clinical

evaluation. Available global prevalence rates of CMA and CMI were communicated with the respondents, yet a considerable proportion of physicians were unaware of the prevalence data (28% for CMA; 32.5% for CMI).

The majority of respondents understood the pathophysiology of CMA and CMI and were aware of the gold standard approach to confirm an adverse reaction to cow's milk protein, i.e., the oral challenge test [44]. The Middle East consensus guidelines published in 2014 consider the additional specific IgE measurement or skin prick test to confirm the diagnosis of CMA upon symptom assessment during physical examination, in parallel with an assessment of patients' family history [45]. Notably, only half of the respondents reported the application of the specific IgE test for diagnostic confirmation in cases of suspected CMA. In comparison, 31% stated that there was no requirement for confirmatory IgE tests. These results suggest that a marked proportion of MENA-based physicians do not follow the guideline recommendations to diagnose CMA. Importantly, this highlights the need for initiatives to increase awareness and education regarding diagnostic approaches to improve patient prognosis.

Survey respondents (47.3% [n = 883]) considered EHF/AAF to be the cornerstone of CMA management for non-exclusively breast fed infants. This is in line with the International and Middle Eastern consensus guidelines which recommend the use of EHF and AAF, alongside the elimination of cow's milk formula and complementary foods containing cow's milk protein [17–20,44]. However, the key considerations in the use of AAF/EHF in infants is the associated elevated cost and poor palatability compared with cow's milk-based formulas. In addition, EHF is associated with reduced immunogenicity which may prevent the immune system from developing tolerance to milk proteins. Goat's milk formulas are comparatively cheaper and better alternatives to EHF/AAF, providing similar benefits in the management of CMI in infants. However, it is important to note that goat's milk formulas are typically 20-50% more expensive than standard cow milk-based formulas and there is a paucity of studies that directly compare cow's with goat's milk in CMA infants. Notably, the majority (65%) of respondents were aware of the availability of goat milk-based formulas, of which 26.3% considered it to the cornerstone of CMI management. However, only 37% of respondents agreed that they would recommend its use in infants below 2 years of age, which may be associated with the unavailability of goat milk-based formulas across MENA countries. These data also highlight the need for initiatives to promote goat's milk formula awareness, utility, existing evidence, and overall build physician trust in the formula. Interestingly, many respondents considered nutritionally adapted goat's milk-based formula as a treatment option for both CMA and CMI (CMA: 16.6% [*n* = 310], CMI: 26.3% [*n* = 491]). The overlap of treatment approaches employed for CMA and CMI, alike, suggests a lack of respondent understanding to differentiate between the two disorders. Though clinical differentiation between CMA and CMI presents a challenge for physicians since it is not always possible to distinguish them solely on clinical symptoms. Patch testing may be used to identify CMA from CMI, yet their application in MENA regions is not viable, possibly due to their limited application in hot climates. Further studies are needed to aid the identification and differentiation of CMA and CMI (beyond lactose intolerance) in dark skin populations based in hot climates.

Nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula is currently not recommended under clinical guidelines as an alternative to cow's milk in the MENA region. However, goat's milk protein is acknowledged by the European Food Safety Authority to be a suitable protein source for infants using follow-on formula. Interestingly, the majority of survey respondents (37% [n = 691]) reported that they would recommend routine use of nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula in infants ≤ 2 years. This is accompanied by a growing body of evidence that has demonstrated nutritional and safety profile similarities between goat's and cow's milk formulas [25–28]. For example, a multicenter, randomized study demonstrated similar growth rates and nutritional status between infants fed with cow's milk and goat's milk formula [46].Studies have also demonstrated the favorable protein profile of goat's milk/ goat's milk-based formulas (i.e., A2 β -casein proteins, medium-chain fatty acids,

and oligosaccharides). These components are associated with improved infant digestion compared with cow's milk/ cow's milk-based formulas [21,22,47]. In addition, studies have shown that the fat content in goat's milk is an excellent source of energy for various metabolic processes [33]. Further, the high levels of oligosaccharides possess prebiotic properties, which are associated with the maintenance of a healthy gut microflora, immune development, and protection against gastrointestinal infections [24].

The findings of this study should be considered cognizant with the following limitations. This survey provides a perspective on the current opinion of physicians in selected countries from the MENA region, and their understanding of CMA and CMI in infants. However, the survey method using convenience sampling is limited by the non-random selection of participants. As such, the cohort of respondents may not represent all physicians in the respective country or region. In addition, the respondents self-selected responses, which may have introduced results bias. Further, the questionnaire was developed by a working group of pediatrician experts. A limitation of question 13 was identified after completion of the survey; the response selections did not include an option for whey proteins and limiting the casein intake. Future surveys may consider a revision of the response selections.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, this study provides important insights regarding physicians understanding and perceptions of infant CMA and CMI in the MENA region. The increasing prevalence rates of CMA and CMI in the region, alongside the long-standing preconceived notion that CMI is restricted to lactose intolerance, emphasizes the need for physicians to follow local guideline recommendations to mitigate misdiagnosis. Since the use of guideline-recommended EHF/AAF is limited by high cost and reduced palatability, we propose and hypothesize that goat milk-based formulas offer a viable alternative to EHF/AAF formulas in infants with CMI. Goat's milk-based formulas have been studied as alternatives to cow's milk. Yet goat's milk-based formulas remain underutilized, despite the favorable nutritional profile, protein profile, and growth outcomes among infants. The findings of this study indicate the need for physician initiatives to improve CMA/CMI awareness, understanding, diagnosis, and treatment knowledge to improve patient prognosis. In addition, there is a need to conduct further clinical trials to support the use of goat's milk-based formulas in the management of CMI.

Author Contributions: All the authors were equally involved in the study conception, design, data collection, interpretation of results, and draft manuscript preparation (W.A.B., M.E., M.S., F.A., M.A., S.M., A.A.M., W.H.A., A.N.E.-B., J.E.H., H.Y.E.K., A.E.Z., M.H., S.Y.S. and Y.V.). All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding: This paper was funded by the Egyptian Academy of Pediatrics (EAP).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data can be requested from the Egyptian Academy of Pediatrics, ceo@egypediaacademy.com (Dr Mohamed Salah, CEO Egyptian Academy of Pediatrics).

Acknowledgments: Medical writing support for the manuscript was provided by Linda Mascarenhas from Connect Communications, UAE under the scientific supervision of Egyptian Academy of Pediatrics (EAP).

Conflicts of Interest: Y.V. has participated as a clinical investigator, and/or advisory board member, and/or consultant, and/or speaker for Abbott Nutrition, Ausnutria, Biogaia, By Heart, CHR Hansen, Danone, ELSE Nutrition, Friesland Campina, Kabrita, Nestle Health Science, Nestle Nutrition Institute, Nutricia, Mead Johnson Nutrition, Phathom Pharmaceuticals, United Pharmaceuticals (Novalac), Yakult, and Wyeth. The other authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Part 1—Demographics

What is your specialty?

What is your gender?

What is your age group?

Where do you practice?

What country do you practice in?

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

uestionnaire			
	a.	General physician	
	b.	Family physician	
	c.	Pediatrician	
	d.	Pediatric Gastroenter	0
	e.	Other (please specify)	
	a. Al	geria	i. Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
	b. Ba	hrain	j. Kuwait
	c. Eg	ypt	k. Oman
	d. Ira	an	l. Qatar
	e. Ira	*	m. Tunisia
	f. Jor		n. United Arab Emirates
		banon	o. Other (please specify)
	h. Me	orocco	
	a.	Male	
	b.	Female	
	a.	<40 years	
	b.	40–50 years	
	c.	50–60 years	
	d.	>60 years	
	a.	Government facility	
	b.	Private facility	

6.	What facility do you practice in?	a.	Clinic
		b.	Hospital
		c.	Other (please specify)
7.	Do you work?	a.	Full time
		b.	Part time
Par	t 2—Physician perception and knowledge of Cow's Milk alle	ergy (C	MA) and Cow's Milk Intolerance (CMI)
1.	Cow's milk allergy & cow's milk intolerance are the	a.	Yes
	same disorder?	b.	No
2.	According to literature, the prevalence of cow's milk	a.	1%-5%
	allergy in an unselected population of infants is?	b.	6%-10%
		c.	11%-20%
		d.	I don't know
3.	According to literature, the prevalence of cow's milk	a.	1%-5%
	"intolerance" in an unselected population of infants is?	b.	6%-10%
	* *	c.	11%-20%
		d.	I don't know
4.	According to my personal experience in my practice, the	a.	1%-5%
	prevalence of cow's milk allergy in an unselected	b.	6%-10%
	population of infants is?	c.	11%-20%
		d.	I don't know
5.	According to my personal experience in my practice, the	a.	1%-5%
	prevalence of cow's milk "intolerance" in an unselected	b.	6%-10%
	population of infants is?	c.	11%-20%
		d.	I don't know

Both

c.

6.	All the following are immune mediated allergy disorders in infants below 2 years, except?	a. b. c. d.	Cow's milk allergy Eosinophilic gastroenteritis Lactose intolerance Atopic dermatitis
7.	In cow's milk allergy, the allergic reaction is to one or more of the protein components present in cow's milk?	a. b.	True False
8.	Cow's milk intolerance may be related as well to lipid, carbohydrate, or protein components of cow's milk?	a. b.	True False
9.	Which of the following describe the most common age of onset and resolution of non-allergic symptoms associated with cow's milk-based infant formula?	a. b. c. d.	9 months & 24 months 3 months & 12 months 6 months & 36 months 12 months & 48 months
10.	In a suspected case of CMPA, how frequently do you request a "specific IgE test"?	a. b. c. d.	Never 1%–25% cases 26%–50% of cases More than 50% of cases
11.	The best test to differentiate between cow's milk intolerance from cow's milk allergy is?	a. b. c. d.	Elimination & challenge test Skin prick test IgE test None is confirmatory (I don't know)
12.	The cornerstone for management of cow's milk allergy in formula fed infants (below 12 months) is?	a. b. c. d.	Lactose avoidance Extensively hydrolyzed formula Amino acid formula/extensively hydrolyzed formula Nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula
13.	The cornerstone for management of cow's milk intolerance in formula fed infants (below 12 months) is?	a. b. c. d.	Lactose avoidance Extensively hydrolyzed formula Amino acid formula/extensively hydrolyzed formula Nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula
14.	Are you aware of nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula?	a. b.	Yes No
15.	Would you recommend routine use of nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula in infants below 2 years?	a. b. c. d.	Yes No I don't know Not available in my country
16.	The major difference in composition between cow's milk and nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula is? (Choose one answer please)	a. b.	Protein digestibility is better in nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula compared to cow's milk Oligosaccharides are present in higher concentration in cow's milk than nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula
		c. d.	Nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula is cheaper than cow's milk Stool microbiota profile of cow's milk formula fed infants is closer to breast fed infants than those fed with nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula
17.	The difference between fresh goat's milk & nutritionally adapted goat's milk formula is (choose one answer please)?	а. b. c.	Fresh goat's milk intake results in folate deficiency Fresh milk intake is safe and recommended routinely for infants below 12 months of age Goat milk-based formula has a role in treatment of cow's
		d.	milk allergy Brucella and Q fever have been reported with the use of goat milk-based formula

References

- 1. He, T.; Romboutsa, W.; Einerhand, A.; Hotrum, N.; van de Velde, F. Gastric protein digestion of goat and cow milk infant formula and human milk under simulated infant conditions. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2021**, *73*, 28–38. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Verduci, E.; D'Elios, S.; Cerrato, L.; Comberiati, P.; Calvani, M.; Palazzo, S.; Martelli, A.; Landi, M.; Trikamjee, T.; Peroni, D.G. Cow's Milk Substitutes for Children: Nutritional Aspects of Milk from Different Mammalian Species, Special Formula and Plant-Based Beverages. *Nutrients* 2019, *11*, 1739. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Martin, C.; Ling, P.; Blackburn, G. Review of Infant Feeding: Key Features of Breast Milk and Infant Formula. *Nutrients* **2016**, *8*, 279. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Pensabene, L.; Salvatore, S.; D'Auria, E.; Parisi, F.; Concolino, D.; Borrelli, O. Cow's Milk Protein Allergy in Infancy: A Risk Factor for Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders in Children? *Nutrients* **2018**, *10*, 1716. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kansu, A.; Yüce, A.; Dalgıç, B.; Şekerel, B.; Çullu-Çokuğraş, F.; Çokuğraş, H. Consensus statement on diagnosis, treatment and follow-up of cow's milk protein allergy among infants and children in Turkey. *Turk. J. Pediatrics* 2016, 58, 1–11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Golisano Children's Hospital. *Cow's Milk Protein Intolerance*; University of Rochester Medical Center: Rochester, NY, USA, 2021. Available online: https://www.urmc.rochester.edu/childrens-hospital/gastroenterology/conditions/cow-s-milk-protein-intolerance.aspx (accessed on 28 January 2022).
- 7. Talia, M.; Kiran, P. Lactose Intolerance; StatPearls Publishing: Treasure Island, FL, USA, 2020.
- Mattar, R.; de Campos Mazo, D.; Carrilho, F. Lactose intolerance: Diagnosis, genetic, and clinical factors. *Clin. Exp. Gastroenterol.* 2012, 5, 113–121. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pal, S.; Woodford, K.; Kukuljan, S.; Ho, S. Milk Intolerance, Beta-Casein and Lactose. Nutrients 2015, 7, 7285–7297. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. He, M.; Sun, J.; Jiang, Z.; Yang, Y. Effects of cow's milk beta-casein variants on symptoms of milk intolerance in Chinese adults: A multicentre, randomised controlled study. *Nutr. J.* **2017**, *16*, 72. [CrossRef]
- 11. Jianqin, S.; Leiming, X.; Lu, X.; Yelland, G.; Ni, J.; Clarke, A. Effects of milk containing only A2 beta casein versus milk containing both A1 and A2 beta casein proteins on gastrointestinal physiology, symptoms of discomfort, and cognitive behavior of people with self-reported intolerance to traditional cows' milk. *Nutr. J.* **2016**, *15*, 72.
- Brooke-Taylor, S.; Dwyer, K.; Woodford, K.; Kost, N. Systematic Review of the Gastrointestinal Effects of A1 Compared with A2 b-Casein. *Adv. Nutr.* 2017, *8*, 739–748. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Kaminski, S.; Cielinkska, A.; Kostyra, E. Polymorphism of bovine beta-casein and its potential effect on human health. *J. Appl. Genet.* **2007**, *48*, 189–198. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Owens, C.; Labuschagne, I.; Lombard, M. The basics of prescribing infant formulas. S. Afr. Fam. Pract. 2012, 54, 25–30. [CrossRef]
- 15. Lifschitz, L.; Szajewska, H. Cow's milk allergy: Evidence-based diagnosis and management for the practitioner. *Eur. J. Pediatrics* **2015**, *174*, 141–150. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Kipfer, S.; Goldman, R.D. Formula choices in infants with cow's milk allergy. Can. Fam. Physician 2021, 67, 180–182.
- Koletzko, S.; Niggemann, B.; Arató, A.; Dias, J.A.; Heuschkel, R.; Husby, S.; Mearin, M.L.; Papadopoulou, A.; Ruemmele, F.M.; Staiano, A.; et al. Diagnostic approach and management of cow's-milk protein allergy in infants and children: ESPGHAN GI Committee practical guidelines. *J. Pediatric Gastroenterol. Nutr.* 2012, 55, 221–229. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Muraro, A.; Werfel, T.; Hoffmann-Sommergruber, K.; Roberts, G.; Beyer, K.; Bindslev-Jensen, C.; Cardona, V.; Dubois, A.; Dutoit, G.; Eigenmann, P.; et al. EAACI food allergy and anaphylaxis guidelines: Diagnosis and management of food allergy. *Allergy* 2014, 69, 1008–1025. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Luyt, D.; Ball, H.; Makwana, N.; Green, M.R.; Bravin, K.; Nasser, S.M.; Clark, A.T. BSACI guideline for the diagnosis and management of cow's milk allergy. *Clin. Exp. Allergy* **2014**, *44*, 642–672. [CrossRef]
- Fiocchi, A.; Dahda, L.; Dupont, C.; Campoy, C.; Fierro, V.; Nieto, A. Cow's milk allergy: Towards an update of DRACMA guidelines. World Allergy Organ. J. 2016, 9, 35. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 21. Haenlein, G. Goat milk in human nutrition. Small Rumin. Res. 2004, 51, 155–163. [CrossRef]
- 22. Jandal, J. Comparative aspects of goat and sheep milk. Small Rumin. Res. 1996, 22, 177–185. [CrossRef]
- 23. Muehlhoff, E.; Bennet, A.; McMahon, D. *Milk and Dairy Products in Human Nutrition*; Food and Agriculte Organization of the United Nations: Rome, Italy, 2013.
- 24. Leong, A.; Liu, Z.; Almshawit, H.; Zisu, B.; Pillidge, C.; Rochfort, S.; Gill, H. Oligosaccharides in goats' milk-based infant formula and their prebiotic and anti-infection properties. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2019**, *122*, 441–449. [CrossRef]
- Grant, C.; Rotherham, B.; Sharpe, S.; Scragg, R.; Thompson, J.; Andrews, J.; Wall, C.; Murphy, J.; Lowry, D. Randomized, double-blind comparison of growth in infants receiving goat milk formula versus cow milk infant formula. *J. Paediatr. Child Health* 2005, 41, 564–568. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhou, S.J.; Sullivan, T.; Gibson, R.A.; Lönnerdal, B.; Prosser, C.G.; Lowry, D.J.; Makrides, M. Nutritional adequacy of goat milk infant formulas for term infants: A double-blind randomised controlled trial. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2014, 111, 1641–1651. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Xu, M.; Wang, Y.; Dai, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Li, Y.; Wang, J. Comparison of growth and nutritional status in infants receiving goat milk-based formula and cow milk-based formula: A randomized, double-blind study. *Food Nutr. Res.* 2015, *59*, 28613. [CrossRef]

- Maathuis, A.; Havenaar, R.; He, T.; Bellmann, S. Protein Digestion and Quality of Goat and Cow Milk Infant Formula and Human Milk under Simulated Infant Conditions. J. Pediatric Gastroenterol. Nutr. 2017, 65, 661–666. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Järvinen, K.; Chatchatee, P. Mammalian milk allergy: Clinical suspicion, cross-reactivities and diagnosis. *Curr. Opin. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 2009, 9, 251–258. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tsabouri, S.; Douros, K.; Priftis, K. Cow's milk allergenicity. Endocr. Metab. Immune Disord. Drug Targets 2014, 14, 16–26. [CrossRef]
 [PubMed]
- 31. Prosser, C. Compositional and functional characteristics of goat milk and relevance as a base for infant formula. *J. Food Sci.* **2021**, *86*, 257–265. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fondaco, D.; AlHasawi, F.; Lan, Y.; Ben-Elazar, S.; Connolly, K.; Rogers, M. Biophysical aspects of lipid digestion in human breast milk and similac(TM) infant formulas. *Food Biophys.* 2015, 10, 282–291. [CrossRef]
- Ceballos, L.; Morales, E.; Adarve, G.; Castro, J.; Martinez, L.; Sampaleyo, M. Composition of goat and cow milk produced under similar conditions and analyzed by identical methodology. *J. Food Compost. Anal.* 2009, 22, 322–329. [CrossRef]
- Havlicekova, Z.; Jesenak, M.; Banovcin, P.; Kuchta, M. Beta-palmitate—A natural component of human milk in supplemental milk formulas. *Nutr. J.* 2015, 15, 28. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Wang, L.; Bravo-Ruiseco, G.; Happe, R.; He, T.; van Dijl, J.; Harmsen, H. The effect of calcium palmitate on bacteria associated with infant gut microbiota. *Microbiol. Open* **2021**, *10*, e1187. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Varasteh, A.R.; Fereidouni, M.; Shakeri, M.T.; Vahedi, F.; Abolhasani, A.; Afsharian, M.S.; Samei, M.; Sankian, M. Prevalence of allergic disorders among the population in the city of Mashhad, Northeast Iran. J. Public Health 2009, 17, 107–112. [CrossRef]
- 37. El-Rab, M. Foods and food allergy: The prevalence of IgE antibodies specific for food allergens in Saudi patients. *Saudi J. Gastroenterol.* **1998**, *4*, 25–29. [PubMed]
- 38. Aba-Alkhail, B.; El-Gamal, F. Prevalence of food allergy in asthmatic patients. Saudi Med. J. 2000, 21, 81–87.
- Dalal, I.; Binson, I.; Reifen, R.; Amitai, Z.; Shohat, T.; Rahmani, S.; Levine, A.; Ballin, A.; Somekh, E. Food allergy is a matter of geography after all: Sesame as a major cause of severe IgE-mediated food allergic reactions among infants and young children in Israel. *Allergy* 2002, *57*, 362–365. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Hossny, E.; Gad, G.; Shehab, A.; El-Haddad, A. Peanut sensitization in a group of allergic Egyptian children. *Allergy Asthma Clin. Immunol.* **2011**, *7*, 11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Ouahidi, I.; Aarab, L.; Dutau, G. The effect of thermic and acid treatment on the allergenicity of peanut proteins among the population of the region of Fes-Meknes in Morocco. *Rev. Française Allergol.* **2010**, *50*, 15–21. [CrossRef]
- 42. Venter, C.; Pereira, B.; Grundy, J.; Clayton, C.B.; Roberts, G.; Higgins, B.; Dean, T. Incidence of parentally reported and clinically diagnosed food hypersensitivity in the first year of life. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **2006**, *117*, 1118–1124. [CrossRef]
- 43. Høst, A. Frequency of cow's milk allergy in childhood. Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2002, 89 (Suppl. S1), 33–37. [CrossRef]
- Costa, A.; Sarinho, E.; Motta, M.; Gomes, P.; de Oliveira de Melo, S.; da Silva, G. Allergy to cow's milk proteins: What contribution does hypersensitivity in skin tests have to this diagnosis? *Pediatric Allergy Immunol.* 2011, 22 Pt 2, e133–e138. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vandenplas, Y.; Abuabat, A.; Al-Hammadi, S.; Aly, G.S.; Miqdady, M.S.; Shaaban, S.Y.; Torbey, P.H. Middle East Consensus Statement on the Prevention, Diagnosis, and Management of Cow's Milk Protein Allergy. *Pediatric Gastroenterol. Hepatol. Nutr.* 2014, 17, 61–73. [CrossRef]
- 46. EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA). Scientific Opinion on the suitability of goat milk protein as a source of protein in infant formulae and in follow-on formulae. *EFSA J.* **2012**, *10*, 2603.
- 47. Jung, T.H.; Hwang, H.J.; Yun, S.S.; Lee, W.J.; Kim, J.W.; Ahn, J.Y.; Jeon, W.M.; Han, K.S. Hypoallergenic and Physicochemical Properties of the A2 β-Casein Fraction of Goat Milk. *Korean J. Food Sci. Anim. Resour.* **2017**, *37*, 940–947. [PubMed]